

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS -P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,213		02/04/2004	Sang H. Lee	1200302R	1200302R 4251	
35227	7590	06/12/2006		EXAMINER		
POLYONE		EDWARDS, 1	EDWARDS, NEWTON O			
33587 WAL AVON LAK		· 		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1774		
	•			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		2				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/772,213	LEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	N Edwards	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ma	<u>ay 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-20 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	coloation requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer, Note the attached Office	Action of form PTC	J-10Z.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	* *		·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	iu in inis National S	lage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTQ-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>b/7/</u> 04 and 5/14/04	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/772,213 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant argues that 1) the restriction includes speculation of extruding which is the method actually used in group II.

The restriction method set forth six steps while the group II step forth two steps. Thus, the method of the restriction and group II are not the same. Hence, The restriction is deemed proper for reasons of record and hereby made FINAL.

1. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 overall is vague and indefinite since there is no transitional phrase in the claim. Also if applicant wants the fiber-reinforced polymer of vinyl chloride monomer to have patentable weight instead of intended use, it should be placed in the body of the claim after the transitional phrase (for example, consisting of). Claims 6, 7, 8, and 9 are indefinite since the term fibers lack proper antecedent basis and the term fiber in claim fiber has the problem above in claim 1.Correction is required.

Claim 5 "the polymer of vinyl ..." is indefinite since the phrase is not place after a transition phrase in claim 1. Correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 1774

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1,2,3,4, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kuroda (US 6,821,599).

Kuroda teaches fabric (article) comprising an acrylic and a vinyl chloride monomer wherein the article has a specific gravity (actual specific gravity) and a true specific gravity (theoretical) yield a ratio from .898 to .999. See example 1-7 and comparative examples 1-4. See col. 5 lines 16-30 and table 1, for example.

Regarding claim 9, since the range up to about 40% includes zero, this make the (glass) fibers not mandatory, making Kuroda read on the claim.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6,7,8,and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda in view of Fiberloc Trade Mark 97510 or 97520 or 97330 (secondary references).

Kuroda is applied for all the reasons of record. Kuroda teaches all of the claimed article except having glass fibers. All of the secondary references teach is it well known in the art to incorporate from about 10 to about 30 % glass fiber additive in a vinyl compound in order to increase the flexural strength and flexural modulus.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,213

Art Unit: 1774

Hence, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the glass fibers, as taught by the secondary reference, in the polymer, as taught by Kuroda in order to increase the flexural strength and flexural modulus of the polymer.

6. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The cited patent discloses the state of the prior.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Primary

Examiner Edwards at telephone number 571-272-1521

N Edwards

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1774